Minutes of the Technical Awareness Group Meeting

for the PFAS As It Relates to Solid Waste and the Environment Supported by the Hinkley Center for Solid and Hazardous Waste Management

Meeting held, October 8, 2021, 10:00 am to 12:30 pm (eastern)

Meeting Participation was through Virtual Connection Registration is required to attend this meeting via Zoom

Attendees:

Speakers:

Ashely Lin, University of Florida

Hekai Zhang, University of Miami

Helena Solo-Gabriele, University of Miami

Jake Thompson, University of Florida

John Bowden, University of Florida

Katherine Deliz, University of Florida

Nicole Robey, University of Florida

Oriana Zerillo, University of Miami

Paola Mendoza-Perilla, University of Florida

Timothy Townsend, University of Florida

Yalan Liu, University of Florida

Yutao Chen, University of Miami

Attendees via computer webinar:

Achaya Kelapanda, Miami-Dade County DSWM

Alex Betts, ExxonMobil

Alex Webster, FDEP Northwest District Office

Alina Timshina, University of Florida

Ally Berry, St. Lucie County Solid Waste

Ana Wood, Polk County Waste and Recycling

Arelys Roman, Miami Dade County DSWM

Athena Jones, EPA Region 8

Beau McCall, Polk County Waste and Recycling

Bill Burns, FDEP Waste Site Cleanup Section

Bob Curtis, SCS Engineers Tampa Office

Brandie Stringer, FDEP Site Investigation Section

Breck Dalton, FDEP Waste Site Cleanup Section

Brian Dougherty, FDEP District and Business Program

Brian Durden, FDEP Northeast District

Brittany Sullivan, Southern Waste Information Exchange

Carl Elder, Stearns Weaver Miller

Catherine Eichner, Pinellas County Solid Waste

Charlie Latham, Board of Clay County Commissioners

Dan Meeroff, Florida Atlantic University

David Dee, Gardner Bist Attorneys at Law

David Eastman, Tallahassee Environmental Law Attorney

David Gregory, Orange County Solid Waste and Hinckley Center Advisory Board

David Meyers, FDEP Site Investigation Section

Doug Podiak, Nassau County Public Works

El Kromhout, FDEP Solid Waste Program and Permitting

Eric Charest, Environmental Compliance Specialist, Indian River County Utilities

Fangmei Zhang, Miami-Dade County DSWM

Fletcher Herrald, FDEP

Florentino De La Cruz, North Carolina State University

Frank Darabi, Gainesville Consulting Engineer

Hillary Thornton, EPA region 4

Jamie Sullivan, Palm Beach County Solid Waste

Jeff Wagner, FDEP District and Business Program

Jennifer Farrell, FDEP Waste Cleanup Section

Jeremy O'Brien, SWANA Applied Research

Jim Flynt, Orange County Solid Waste

Joe Dertien, FDEP Solid Waste Program and Permitting

Joe Ullo, Stearns Weaver Miller and Board of Hinkley Center

Joel Woolsey, New River County Solid Waste

John Schert, Executive Director of the Hinkley Center

Johnsie Lang, Arcadis Consultants

Karlee Fowler, FDEP Site Investigation Section

Kim Walker, FDEP Permitting and Compliance Assistance Program

Kyle Clavier, Sandia National Laboratories

Lanita Walker, City of Tallahassee Environmental Engineer

Larry Ruiz, Hillsborough County Solid Waste

Leah Smith, FDEP Environmental Consultants

Lee Casey, SCS Engineers Miami Office and Board of Hinkley Center

Liz Foeller, Florida Waste Management and Board of Hinkley Center

Maria Romero, Polk County Solid Waste

Mario Porcelli, Miami-Dade County DSWM

Mary Beth Morrison, Palm Beach Solid Waste

Pam Davis, Columbia County Solid Waste

Ramana Kari, Palm Beach County Solid Waste and Board of Hinkley Center

Richard Potts, FDEP

Richard Tedder, Geosyntec Consultants

Rita Crouch, Pinellas County Solid Waste

Robert Graessel, Miami-Dade County DSWM

Robert Mackey, S2L Consultants

Ron Beladi, Neil Schaffer and Hinkley Center Research Section Committee

Rula Deeb, Geosyntec Consultants

Sam Levin, S2L Consultants

Scott Reynolds, Board of Indian River County Commissioners

Seth Ramaley, Waste Management Groundwater and Technical Programs

Suzanne Boroff, FDEP Waste Reduction Recycling Section

Tanya Linzy

Teresa Booeshaghi, FDEP Division Waste Management

Terry Johnson, Waste Management

Thomas Smallwood, University of Florida

Tim Bahr, FDEP

Tim Ruelke, FDOT Office of Materials and Board of Hinkley Center

Tom Mulligan, Brevard County Solid Waste

Vicky Pena, Brevard County Solid Waste

Viraj de Silva, SCS Environmental Consultants and Contractors

Virginia Walsh, Miami-Dade County Water and Sewage Department

Walsta Jean-Baptiste, FDEP Waste Cleanup Section

William Kilby, Lee County Solid Waste

Agenda

Hinkley Center TAG Meeting, PFAS As It Relates to Solid Waste and the Environment

Date: October 8, 2021,

Time: 10:00 am to 12:30 pm (eastern)

Location: Virtual.

1. Welcome and introductions.

SoloG/Townsend/Deliz/Bowden

2. Hinkley Funded Research Focused on Landfill Leachate.

Helena Solo-Gabriele • Introduction Yutao Chen/Hekai Zhang • PFAS in leachate on-site treatment systems Yutao Chen/Hekai Zhang • PFAS in Florida landfills by waste and water type

3. Updates on EPA Funded Research.

Tim Townsend, John Bowden, Introduction and methods Nicole Robey, Yalan Liu, Paola Results Mendoza-Perilla, Ashley Lin

4. Ongoing Hinkley Funded Research.

Introduction Tim Townsend Remediation Waste Jake Thompson Jake Thompson • Public Works (Street Sweepings/Biosolids)

• Additional Projects

5. Updates on PFAS Study in Brevard County.

Katherine Deliz

6. Updates on Community Participatory Based PFAS Research.

John Bowden

7. Next steps.

Helena Solo-Gabriele • Complete final Hinkley report for Landfill Tim Townsend Leachate • Deliverables for Hinkley and EPA projects

8. Additional question and answers, wrap up.

9. Adjourn.

Questions about meeting: hmsolo@miami.edu

Questions and Answer #1 (after section 2. Hinkley Project, Landfill Leachate):

1. Q: Have you conducted further studies about how unlined or lined systems impact the groundwater upstream and downstream of the landfills?

A: More work is still needed for this analysis. For unlined systems, the PFAS levels in the groundwater downstream were statistically higher than that in groundwater upstream. This difference was not statistically significant for the lined systems, but we still need to look at it further.

Also, it is very difficult to find a good greenfield site where there has never been prior unlined disposal. The next step of this analysis is to go back and check whether these sites received anything which can impact groundwater. This can help to determine whether these sites can be considered as clearly having only liner systems without any old legacy landfills or unlined landfills. So, in summary, it is hard to find a sample that does not have PFAS anywhere in the environment. Similarly with the results of groundwater surrounding these wells it is difficult to not detect PFAS. At this point we should not over interpret the results in terms of the performance of the liner systems.

2. Q: What about aeration and recirculation? Does the recirculation increase the PFAS?

A: The suggestion is that if the leachate were recirculated, the total of PFAS is not going to increase, but you should not expect that anything will be removed. It really depends on the route that water takes through the landfill ultimately. PFAS is relatively mobile in the landfill environment. So, if you recirculate the leachate containing PFAS, there might be some transformation of species. It will likely remain as part of the moisture within the landfill.

3. Q: It was mentioned that RO = 99% removal in permeate and concentrate is "cycled back to the landfill." Was it similar if it was not cycled back into the landfill?

A: Facilities may cycle the concentrate back into the landfill, and at least one of the facilities in the data set also recirculates the concentrate. Again, it is really going to depend on how long it will take the recycle water to make its way back through the system. But you should not expect PFAS is removed or mitigated over the long term. RO systems concentrate PFAS and some other advanced treatment options can be used to destroy PFAS. Other researchers consider RO as a concentrating step. It can be used to create a highly concentrated effluent stream that is then sent to a follow up treatment process that destroys PFAS. The RO concentrate reduces the cost of treating a large water volume. For the bottom liner, if you were recirculating leachate back to the landfill after the membrane system, the PFAS may not be removed or attenuated.

4. Q: How many RO installations were reviewed?

A: There were two landfills having RO installations among these data, and they have different configurations. One of them is a two-stage RO system and the other one is a combined treatment system that also includes an RO system.

Questions and Answer #2 (after section 4. Hinkley Project, Thermal Treatment):

1. Q: Did the ratio of PFCAs remain the same from younger to older waste?

A: I believe the ratio of the PFCAs increase in the older waste, because the precursors constantly transform to the terminal PFCAs and PFSAs. When you have an older leachate, you have more time for the precursors to transform to PFCAs. So, you would see an increase of PFCAs in the older waste.

I do not know whether new or different types of PFCAs are formed in the old waste. I will go back and check this, but again, those samples were not from the same sites. So, this will depend on what

kind of waste is disposed at the sites. The ratio may change, but that is something we will definitely go back and check.

2. Q: Are any of the species you have detected sensitive to temperature?

A: I am sure many of them are sensitive to temperature, but we haven't done that kind of work to test it. Also, we are in the middle of the PFAS stability study. We just finished looking at the stability of over 100 PFAS at room temperature and at negative 20. And we have done it over five months, so we will have that data soon. This work does not factor in high temperatures, but at least is gives some ideas about the stability.

3. Q: In the permeate, did you see any specific species stand out which tended to make it through the membrane? Total removal is promising

A: Data analysis for this project is still ongoing, generally long chain PFAS have a higher removal than short chain, but even still, it's above 99% removal. We expect the detailed results will be available for review by end of year. Feel free to reach out before then for a specific discussion on any species trends.

Questions and Answer #3 (after section 5. PFAS Study, Brevard):

1. Q: I just wanted to see if you would share your methodology for determining the flood risk zones that you showed there on the slide.

A: We can share the model that we are presenting, that includes a lot of areas.